


Organization TC2600 Bldg./Room PK2

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

**AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER**

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300



*See 3452*

FORWARD TIME EXP 1302 05 06/04/03  
FORWARD & HAROUN LLP  
317 MADISON AVE RM 910  
NEW YORK NY 10017-5246

RETURN TO SENDER

10173+1924 06



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,607	08/15/2000	Terumi Takashi	807-005	1992

7590 12/04/2002

Sofer & Haroun LLP  
342 Madison Avenue Suite 1921  
New York, NY 10173

EXAMINER

FABER, ALAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2651

DATE MAILED: ~~12/04/2002~~

4/30/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUN 16 2003

Technology Center 2600



2651  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
www.uspto.gov

**MAIL** Paper No. 11

Sofer & Haroun LLP  
342 Madison Avenue Suite 1921  
New York NY 10173

APR 30 2003

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of:

Terumi Takashi et al.

Application No. 09/638,607

Filed: August 15, 2000

For: **DATA REPRODUCTION APPARATUS**

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed January 27, 2003 to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR § 1.181. No fee is required.

The Notice of Abandonment was mailed December 4, 2002 for failure to response in a timely manner to an Office action mailed April 11, 2002.

Petitioner alleges that no Office action was received.

Based on M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c) [See also Notice entitled *Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received*, 1156 O.G. 53 (November 16, 1993)], in absence of any irregularity in the mailing of an Office action, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the Office action was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include:

(a) a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner;

(b) a statement attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received; and


(c) a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.

The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office communication may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office communication was lost in the mail.

Petitioner fails to meet requirement (c) above. The petition does not include a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received.

For the above stated reasons, the petition is **DENIED**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mailing date of this decision. No further petition fee is required for the request. Extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are **NOT** permitted.

  
James L. Dwyer, Director  
Technology Center 2600  
Communications